

p-ISSN 2339-1286  
e-ISSN 2089-4392

# Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

## Indonesian Journal of Science Education



Science Education Studies Program, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,  
Semarang State University collaborate with Perkumpulan Pendidik IPA Indonesia (PPII) /  
Indonesian Society for Science Educators

**JPII**

Volume 4

Number 1

Pages  
1 - 100

Semarang  
April 2015

p-ISSN 2339-1286  
e-ISSN 2089-4392

Scopus Citation Analysis  
Contact Us

User

Username:

Password:

Remember me

COLLABORATE WITH

**Editorial Advisory Regional America**

- » Prof. San Ping Jiang, (Scopus ID: 7404452780) Curtin University, Perth, Australia

**Editorial Advisory Regional South Asia**

- » Dr. Imran Parvez, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science & Technology University, Bangladesh

**Editorial Advisory Regional Southeast Asia**

- » Prof. Dr. Melor Yusus, [Scopus ID: 36521977800] PERMATApintar National Gifted Centre, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- » Prof. Dr. Poonsuk Prasertsari, (Scopus ID: 6603488014) Prince of Songkla University, Department of Industrial Biotechnology, Hatyai, Thailand
- » Prof. Dr. Lilia Halim, (Scopus ID: 14624924300) Faculty of Education, National University of Malaysia, Malaysia

**Editorial Board**

Home About Login Register Search Archive Announcements

Submit a Manuscript

Home / About the Journal / Editorial Team

**Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief**

- » Dr. Parmin, (Scopus ID: 57193713986) Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

**Editorial Advisory Regional Europe**

- » Prof. Dr. Hans Dieter Barkel, (Scopus ID: 8061598100) Universitat Hannover, Hannover, Germany

**Editorial Advisory Regional Africa**

Editorial Policies  
Focus & Scope  
Ethics Statement  
Abstracting/Indexing  
Editorial Board  
Peer Reviewer

Tidak aman | journal.unnes.ac.id/jui/index.php/plr/about/editorialTeam

**01241864**

**View Stats**

Statistics Counter since 28 January 2015

**Readers**

ID	377,553	SG	1,129
US	25,969	KR	1,036
MY	5,788	CN	1,020
PH	1,999	ML	632
TH	1,862	TR	532
IR	1,622	CA	474
IN	1,498	ZA	450
GR	1,363	HK	443
JP	1,291	RU	354
AU	1,177	BR	340

Pageviews: 1,379,629  
Rags Collected: 167

**Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia**

Q3 Education  
SJR 2018  
0.25  
powered by scimagisr.com

**Editorial Team**

- » Prof. Dr. Edy Cahyono, [Scopus ID: 56272286900] Chemistry Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- » Prof. Dr. Sutikno Sutikno, [Scopus ID: 18937265100] Physic, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- » Prof. Dr. Anna Pemanasan, [Scopus ID: 57190941776] Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia, Indonesia
- » Prof. Dr. Sudharlo P. Hadi, [Scopus ID: 16068457500] Environmental Science, Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia
- » Prof. Dr. Mohamad Amin, [Scopus ID: 57188809077] Environmental Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- » Dr. Wawancara Widodo, [Scopus ID: 57191165275] Universitas Negeri Surabaya
- » Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Syidan Sajidan, [Scopus ID: 24464557700] Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia
- » Dr. Sigit Septono, (ORCID ID 0000-0001-8169-4814) Biology Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- » Prof. Dr. Sudarmin Sudarmin, (Scopus ID: 57193226009) Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- » Prof. Dr. Widha Sunemo, (Scopus ID: 57190938651) Physics Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia

Tidak aman | journal.unnes.ac.id/jui/index.php/plr/about/editorialTeam

**Q3 Education**

SJR 2018  
0.25  
powered by scimagisr.com

**Administration**

- » Arif Widiyatmoko, [Scopus ID: 57190682690] Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- » Novi Ratna Dewi, [h-index 2] Science Education Department, Mathematics and Natural Science Faculty, D5 building first floor, Semarang State University, Central Java, Indonesia 50225, Indonesia
- » Stephani Diah Pamelasari, [Scopus ID: 57194033052] Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- » Erni Noor Savitri, [SCOPUS ID: 57196097401] Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
- » Andin Vita Amelia, [h-index 2] Science Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia



journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/gai/author/submission/12438

## #12438 Summary

Summary | Review | Editing

### Submission

**Authors** M Haviz, H. Karoman, R. Delfita, M. I. A. Umar, I. M. Mans

**Title** Revisiting Generic Science Skills as 21st Century Skills on Biology Learning

**Original file** [12438-29305-1 SM.docx](#) 2017-12-21

**Supp. files**

- [12438-37483-1-SP.pdf](#) 2018-08-31
- [12438-37926-2-SP.pdf](#) 2018-09-13
- [12438-37927-1-SP.pdf](#) 2018-09-13

**Submitter** M Haviz

**Date submitted** December 21, 2017 - 09:02 PM

**Section** Articles

**Editor** Mochamad Rizqi Adhi Pralama (Review)

**Abstract Views** 777

You are logged in as **User**

- Editorial Policies
- Focus & Scope
- Ethics Statement
- Abstracting/Indexing
- Editorial Board
- Peer Reviewer
- Guide for Authors
- Online Submission Here
- Scopus Citation Analysis
- Contact Us

journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/gai/author/submission/12438

## #12438 Summary

Summary | Review | Editing

### Submission

**Authors** M Haviz, H. Karoman, R. Delfita, M. I. A. Umar, I. M. Mans

**Title** Revisiting Generic Science Skills as 21st Century Skills on Biology Learning

**Original file** [12438-29305-1 SM.docx](#) 2017-12-21

**Supp. files**

- [12438-37483-1-SP.pdf](#) 2018-08-31
- [12438-37926-2-SP.pdf](#) 2018-09-13
- [12438-37927-1-SP.pdf](#) 2018-09-13

**Submitter** M Haviz

**Date submitted** December 21, 2017 - 09:02 PM

**Section** Articles

**Editor** Mochamad Rizqi Adhi Pralama (Review)

**Abstract Views** 777

You are logged in as **User**

- Editorial Policies
- Focus & Scope
- Ethics Statement
- Abstracting/Indexing
- Editorial Board
- Peer Reviewer
- Guide for Authors
- Online Submission Here
- Scopus Citation Analysis
- Contact Us



jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id

Artikel Update INDEX X**Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia** <jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id>

to deanitanastiti, me

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform that your article is included in the **article selection process** for JPPII Septe  
Please check your OJS account for the newest review result.  
We are looking forward to your revision soon.

Please be informed that this is not an accepted statement.  
Thank you.

Best,  
JPPII Team

## Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

Nationally Accredited based on the Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education, N

**Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education)** [p-ISSN 2339-1286 | e-ISSN 2089-  
education in primary education, secondary education and higher education. This journal in collaborate with *Perkum*

This journal has been indexed in [Google Scholar](#), [DOAJ](#), [EBSCO](#), [SCOPUS](#)



jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id



1

## Reminder Inbox ×

**Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia** <jpii@mail.unnes.ac.id>

to John, An, rizki, Ratini, mujizatin, Yayu, rahmat.rakemal, yakobus, hernawatibiologi, Dang, me, Afrizal,

Dear Authors,

This is to remind you that we are waiting for your revision.

We expected to receive your article not later than **Sunday, 5 August 2018**.

We are going to proceed with your article as soon as it is submitted.

Good luck and thank you.

All the best,  
JPII Team

## Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

.....  
Nationally Accredited based on the Decree of the Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education, N

**Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education)** [p-ISSN 2339-1286 | e-ISSN 2085-  
education in primary education, secondary education and higher education. This journal in collaborate with *Perkumj*

This journal has been indexed in [Google Scholar](#), [DOAJ](#), [EBSCO](#), [SCOPUS](#)

## Principal Contact



c

Star

t

Home / Archives / Vol 7, No 3 (2018)

## Vol 7, No 3 (2018)

September 2018  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpi.v7i3>

Available online since 21st September 2018

### Table of Contents

#### Articles

The Development and Teachers' Perception on Electromagnet Teaching Aid: Magnobolt	PDF	252-258
A. M. Sharif, M. N. A. Azman, B. Belakrishnan, M. I. H. Yaacob, H. H. M. Zain <a href="https://doi.org/10.15294/jpi.v7i3.13491">DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.13491</a>		

LOGIN

### COLLABORATE WITH

View State

Statistics Counter since 28 January 2015

#### Readers

id 377,565	so 1,129
us 25,969	kr 1,036
my 5,788	cn 1,020
in 1,999	th 633
ua 1,662	ru 532
fr 1,622	ca 474
in 1,496	za 450
de 1,363	uk 445
jp 1,297	es 354
au 1,177	uk 340
Indonesia 1,128,875	

D. Hemawati, M. Amin, M. H. Irawati, S. E. Indriwati, N. Omar	206-272	
<a href="https://doi.org/10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14459">DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14459</a>		
The Improvement of Problem-Solving Skills and Physics Concept Mastery on Temperature and Heat Topic	PDF	273-279
J. R. Batlolona, C. Baskar, M. A. Kunaz, M. Leasa <a href="https://doi.org/10.15294/jpi.v7i3.12432">DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.12432</a>		
The Use of Monopoly-Like Game (MLG) to Promote Qualified Scores for Three Student Competencies	PDF	280-285
A. N. M. Fauziah, A. R. Purnomo, N. Fathonah, K. Khusani <a href="https://doi.org/10.15294/jpi.v7i3.8183">DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.8183</a>		
Ecological Studies of <i>Oryctes rhinoceros</i> Larvae Controlled by <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> and Entomopathogenic Nematodes	PDF	286-292
D. R. Indriyanti, R. Rahmawati, B. Priyono, M. Slamet, F. Z. Huyop <a href="https://doi.org/10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14239">DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14239</a>		
Applying Collaborative Ranking Tasks to Improve Students' Concept Mastery and Generic Science Skills	PDF	293-301
N. M. Pujani, K. Suma, W. Sadiq, A. F. C. Wijaya		

Tidak aman | journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpi/issue/view/970

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

Q3 Education

5yr 2018 0.25

covered by scimagojr.com

Changes in Prospective Biology Teachers' Mental Model OF Virus through Drawing-Writing Test: An Application of Mental Model-Based Microbiology Course	PDF	302-311
Y. Hamdiyati, F. Sudargo, A. Fitriani, A. Rachmatullah DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14280		
Two Problem Solving Cycles to Achieve Learning Outcomes of Thinking Skills and Plant Anatomy Concept Mastery	PDF	312-321
R. P. Ruspitawati, L. Yunita, Y. S. Raheyu, S. Indana, E. Susiyawati DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14295		
Types of Plasmodium and the Effect of Environmental Factor against Malaria in Manokwari, West Papua	PDF	322-332
N. Subekti, M. Paiticon, E. I. J. J. Kawulur, S. H. K. Srait, S. Mohammed DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14236		
Incomplete Explanation in Determining Oxidation Number: A Case Study on Chemistry Program Students	PDF	333-340
R. Basuki, H. Amanda, R. Bemis, A. Lisma, Y. Yusnaidar DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.13251		

Windows taskbar: 23:19 19/06/2018

Tidak aman | journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpi/issue/view/970

The Profile of XI Grade Students' Scientific Thinking Abilities on Scientific Approach Implementation	PDF	341-346
S. Suciati, M. N. Ali, A. F. Anggrani, Z. Dermawan DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.15382		
Design and Characterization of Low-Cost Sensors for Air Quality Monitoring System	PDF	347-354
R. Rumantri, M. Y. N. Khakim, I. Iskandar DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.14444		
Revisiting Generic Science Skills as 21st Century Skills on Biology Learning	PDF	355-383
M. Haviz, H. Karomah, R. Delita, M. I. A. Umar, I. M. Mars DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.12438		
Modeling of Cultural Effect on School Autonomy at Religion-Based School in Indonesia	PDF	364-375
M. J. Susilo, B. Kartowagiran, R. Vehochar DOI: 10.15294/jpi.v7i3.12445		

Windows taskbar: 23:25 19/06/2018





## REVISITING GENERIC SCIENCE SKILLS AS 21<sup>ST</sup> CENTURY SKILLS ON BIOLOGY LEARNING

M. Haviz\*<sup>1</sup>, H. Karomah<sup>2</sup>, R. Delfita<sup>3</sup>, M. I. A. Umar<sup>4,5</sup>, I. M. Maris<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4,6</sup>Faculty Tarbiyah and Science Education, Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Batusangkar, Indonesia  
<sup>5</sup>Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia

DOI: 10.15294/jpii.v7i3.12438

Accepted: February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018. Approved: August 31<sup>st</sup>, 2018. Published: September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to describe the generic skills of students' science who conducted experiments on biology learning grade VIII Junior High School (SMP) in Batusangkar. This study used a descriptive quantitative design. The variables of this research were generic science skills as the 21st-century skills and students achievement. A total of 295 students were used as the populations and the purposive sampling technique was employed to select one class as the research sample (n=32 students). The student activities, such as a direct observation, awareness of scale, logical framework, cause-effect, modeling, and the inference was observed by 6 observers. This activity was designed and adapted based on the generic science skills and 21st-century skills. The percentage of students' achievement and generic science skills score was analyzed with descriptive statistics. The students' achievement showed that all students were successful, with a mean score > 75. The students' generic science skills mean scores was categorized as: very good, good and sufficient, and all students were completed the learning. The highest (very good) performance was on the modeling activities with the average percentage of 87.49%. The results indicated that the skills were considered to be part of generic science skills and 21st-century skills. The results of this study concluded and suggested the need to revisit and reaffirm the inclusion of generic science skills in biology learning because this skill becomes part of or similar to the 21st-century skills.

© 2018 Science Education Study Program FMIPA UNNES Semarang

Keywords: generic science skill, 21st-century skill, biology learning

### INTRODUCTION

At years 2010-2018, research on 21st skills was reported by many researchers, such as an investigation of 21st century learners' competencies in China (Cai et al., 2017), teachers' actual and preferred perceptions of twenty-first century learning competencies (Sang et al., 2018), and comparative study about inventive thinking skills in science between students in Malaysia and Brunei (Muhammad & Osman, 2010). Jia et al. (2016) and Ercikan & Oliveri (2016) conducted the development and validation of the instrument

of 21st-century student skill. Boyer & Crippen (2014); Bell (2010); and Duran et al. (2011) conducted the study of the use of certain methods in learning to bring up 21st-century students' skills in science classes. In other explanations, the studies listed above show that 21st century skills are a "hot" topic in education of science (Geisinger, 2016) besides the research about nanotechnology and graphene in pure science (Umar et al., 2013; Umar et al., 2017; Umar et al., 2018). Because 21st-century skills required by students to perform their activities in the future (Larson & Miller, 2011), or 21st-century skills are beneficial to their lives after graduation (Kaufman, 2013).

\*Correspondence Address  
 E-mail: mhaviz@iainbatusangkar.ac.id

Moreover, the studies about the 21st-century skills in learning have also reported by many researchers. For example, the study of assessing and teaching 21st-century skills in science has been investigated by Griffin (2017), and the use of evaluation in 21st-century learning has reported by DiCerbo (2014). Furthermore, the study of 21st-century skills in information technology is reported by Lambert & Gong (2010); and Fry & Seely (2011). Sibille et al. (2010) conducted research about preparing physicians for the 21st century, and Jang (2016) have identifying 21st century STEM competencies using workplace data. These of the study indicate that 21st-century skills are important skills to be given to students in learning and its have to include at curriculum.

However, before the 21st-century skill becomes a research trend, research on generic skills and/or science process skills is also a "hot" topic in education studies, especially in the field of science learning. Ambross et al. (2014) investigated the implementation and development of science process skills in the natural sciences. Koksal & Berberoglu (2014) pointed out the effect of guided-inquiry instruction on 6th-grade Turkish students' achievement, science process skills and attitudes toward science. Durmaz & Mutlu (2017) studied the effect of an instructional intervention on elementary students' science process skills. Coil et al. (2010) investigated effective methodology in teaching the process of science. Stone (2014) elucidated faculty perceptions and an effective methodology in teaching the process of science. Walters & Soyibo (2010) conducted a study of high school students' performance by applying the integration of five science process skills. Colley (2010) conducted a study about understanding ecology content knowledge and acquiring science process skills through project-based science instruction. Savitri et al. (2017) have enhanced science students' process skills through an implementation of green learning

method (GeLeM) with conservation-based inquiry approach.

There are also researchers who conducted the study of generic skills. Jääskelä et al. (2016) examined the models for the development of generic skills in Finnish higher education. Mulyani et al. (2016) have investigated students' generic skill in science through chemistry learning using ICT-based media on reaction rate and osmotic pressure material. Badcock et al. (2010) developed the generic skills through university study. Rhee & Kim (2012) conducted differential pathways to generic skills development of male and female college students in Korea. Natoli et al. (2014) investigated the impact of instructor's group management strategies on students' attitudes to group work and generic skill development. Joseph et al. (2015) examined the relationship between student development activities and core generic competencies among tertiary science and technology students. Cecilia et al. (2017) carried out a review of the literature on challenges in the development and implementation of generic competencies in the higher education curriculum. The results informed that there are some generic skills that have been applied in universities such as leadership and communication, collaboration and teamwork, globalization and cultural awareness and entrepreneurship which are important to be achieved by students.

The above explanations make clear that generic science skills are similar to or part of 21st-century skills. These explanations also show that a study of revisiting generic science skills as 21st-century skills is important to conduct in learning. In this study, the researchers described the generic science skills in biology learning. Prior to the research, the researchers made a comparison of the generic skills written by George (2011) and 21st-century skills proposed by Greenstein (2012). The comparison results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. The Comparison of Generic Skills and 21st-Century Skills

Generic Skills (George, 2011)		21st Century Skill (Greenstein, 2012)	
Main Skills	Focus Skill	Main Skills	Focus Skill
Thinking	Reasoning, critical thinking, creative thinking	Thinking	Creativity, critical thinking, problem-solving and metacognition
Computation	Following instructions, arithmetic, spatial ability	Acting	Communicating, debate, collaborating, digital literacy and technology literacy
Communication	Speech, reading, writing, listening, expression	Life	Civics and citizenship, global, leadership and responsibility, work ethic, college/career/workplace, flexibility/adaptability and initiative/motivation



problem solving	analyzing, decision making, applying, verifying
independent learning	study habits, planning, research, evaluation
information processing	technology, multimedia awareness, using the library
team management	discussing, cohesion, commitment, cooperation
self-management	knowing self, managing time, using resources

Table 1 explains that the main skill of thinking is found both in generic skills and 21st-century skills. Other skills found in both groups are critical thinking, creative thinking, problem-solving, communication, technology, and cooperative (collaboration). While the living skill focusing on the civics and citizenship skills, global, leadership and responsibility, work ethic, college or career or workplace, flexibility/ adaptability, and initiative or motivation are only found in 21st-century skills. This explanations also indicate that generic science skills are similar to or part of 21st-century skills. These explanations also show that a study of revisiting generic science skills as 21st-century skills is important to conduct in learning.

Teaching and learning science in Junior High School in Indonesia should provide students with experiments containing both skill groups; the generic skill and 21st-century skills. To examine this matter, the researchers conducted a research on the application of generic science skills to science learning in junior high school. The aroused research problem was; how do the junior high school students conduct science experiments covering the generic science skill and/or the 21st-century skills in learning biology? The purpose of this study was to describe the generic skills of students' science who conducted experiments on biology learning in grade VIII of junior high school (SMP). The experimental topic was about the motion of living things. The students' generic science skills were observed during the experiments of the stimulation effect on the motion of *Mimosa pudica* and analyzing the motion of animals based on the body structure and its mass. This study employed the scientific learning

approach. In terms of skills, the scientific learning has stages observing, asking, trying, reasoning, testing and creating (Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 22 of 2016).

## METHODS

This study was descriptive quantitative (Creswell, 2014). The variables in this study were the generic science skills and student achievement. The students' generic science skills were observed and recorded by observers using observation sheets. These skills were in the form of direct observation, scale awareness, cause and effect, modeling, logical framework, and inference. The population in this study were 295 students in grade VIII Junior High School (SMPN) 1 Batusangkar, West Sumatra. We used purposive sampling technique by taking one class with the number of 32 students.

The instruments used were the observation sheets and experimental guides. The observation sheets were to observe the students' generic science skills. The students' experimental guideline comprised experimental procedures and worksheet. The instruments were adopted from Rahman (2008) and Brotosiswoyo (2001). The activities consisted of direct observation, scale awareness, logical framework, causal-effect, modeling, and inference. After the adaptation and theoretical analysis, it found that the six activities were classified into thinking and skill problems based on the grouping of the 21st-century skill (Greenstein, 2012) and generic skills (George, 2011). Comparisons of 21st-century skills, generic skills, and skills based on observed student activity are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2.** The Comparison of 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills, Generic Skills and Student Activities Observed during the Experiment of Motion in Living Things

21st Century Skill (Greenstein, 2012)		Generic Skills (George, 2011)		Student Skill Observed (Rahman, 2008; Brotosiswoyo, 2001)	
Main Skills	Focus Skill	Main Skills	Focus Skill	Skill	Students Activity
Thinking	Creativity, critical thinking, problem-solving and metacognition	Thinking Skills	Reasoning, critical thinking, creative thinking	Direct observation	Observing and revealing the characteristics of the object with the senses by using the tool or not using the tool.
				Awareness of scale	Using size, quantity, and unit and compare objects to one another
				Logical framework	Grouping by criteria
		Problem Solving Skills	Analyzing, decision making, applying, verifying	Cause-effect	Explaining, linking or determining treatment and treatment results
				Modeling	Performing a particular demonstration or activity to be emulated and describing the data obtained or vice versa
				Inference	Drawing up conclusions based on observations

Prior to use, the observation sheet was tested for its content and construction. The instruments were declared valid after being assessed by 4 validators. Then, the researchers revised the instruments based on the validators' suggestion. The validation test results informed that the instruments were valid with a mean score of 3.35 (at 1-4 assessment scale) (Creswell, 2014). There were several suggestions from the validator team to revise the instrument, research guidelines and language. All of the suggestions have been accepted for the improvement of the research instruments. Then, to test the internal consistency, the instrument reliability test was performed. The instruments were tested on the students who were not the research sample. The instrument reliability test results showed that the instrument owned sufficient reliability value with the Alpha value of  $0.595 > 0.355$ . Therefore, it concluded that the instruments were valid and reliable.

To collect the data, the students were divided into 6 groups of practical work. Prior to the implementation of the experiment, each student was coded with numbers to facilitate the observers in assessing each student's activity. The experimental sub-topic of motion in living things

done by students was the stimulation effect on the motion of *Mimosa pudica*, and animal motion based on its structure body and mass. During the experiment, the students filled out the activity sheets. The generic science skills generated by the students were observed and reported by the observers on the observation sheets. Each group was observed by one observer ( $n$  observer = 6 people). The observers were a team of researchers and already have a common understanding of the generic skills and science observation procedure. At the end of the learning process, we conducted a post-test to determine the students' achievement and its completeness. To analyze the data, the percentage of the generic science skill scores were assessed based on the following criteria: 86-100 (very good); 76-85 (good); sufficient (60-75); less (55-59); very less (55-59) and less once ( $\leq 54$ ). The scores of students' achievement were analyzed with descriptive statistics.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the student answered the questions and wrote it on the worksheets. For instance, during the observation of the stimula-



tion effect on closing/opening the leaves of *Mimosa pudica*, the students performed experimental activities based on the following questions and student answers.

Question 1: What are you trying to do?

Student answers: closing and opening the leaves of *Mimosa pudica*.

Question 2: What do you think?

Student answers: (a) *Mimosa pudica* leaves close when you touch it.

(b) *Mimosa pudica* leaves close when given cold excitatory

(c) *Mimosa pudica* leaves close when given hot stimuli

Question 3: What do you provide?

Student answers:

(a) *Mimosa pudica* plant (live)

(b) Ice wrapped in plastic

(c) lighted lighters or candles

(d) stopwatch or timers

Question 4: What are you doing?

Student answers:

(a) Treating the *Mimosa pudica*; (1) touching it using the fingertips at the top of the leaf surface; (2) touching it using the fingertips on the petiole; (3) giving cold temperatures by laying ice cubes beneath the leaf surface; (4) giving the heat temperature by placing a flame or a burning candle

(b) Observing the *Mimosa pudica* leave and stem

(c) Recording the speed of plant response to excitatory using stopwatch

(d) Repeating steps 1-3 in part a (3 times)

(e) Recording the observed data

**Table 3.** The Example of Students' Record during the Observation

Treatment	Time (minute)		
	1 <sup>st</sup> round	2 <sup>nd</sup> round	3 <sup>rd</sup> round
	Close at	Close at	Close at
Touching the leaf surface	01.43	01.52	01.55
Touching the petiole	03.03	03.01	03.04
Giving cold temperature on beneath the leaf surface	03.14	03.10	03.15
Giving hot temperature on beneath the leaf surface	06.56	07.02	07.06

Question 5: What are your conclusions?

(a) How does *Mimosa pudica* respond when given a touch stimulus on the leaf surface?

Student answer: The leaves of *Mimosa pudica* closes and opens in a very short time.

(b) How does *Mimosa pudica* respond when given a touch stimulus on the petiole?

Student answer: The leaves of *Mimosa pudica* closes and opens in a short time

(c) How does *Mimosa pudica* respond when given cold stimuli?

Student answer: The leaves of *Mimosa pudica* closes and opens in a longer time

(d) How does *Mimosa pudica* respond when given hot stimuli?

Student answer: The leaves of *Mimosa pudica* closes and opens in a very long time

(e) Which part is the most sensitive to the touch stimulator?

Student answers: the most sensitive part of the touch plan is the leaf surface

(f) Is the response speed different from each stimulus?

Student answers: yes, this type of stimulus has a different response speed.

(g) What can you conclude based on the above activities?

Student answers: The most sensitive part to excitatory are the leaves while the slightly sensitive part is the petiole. The most immediate stimuli affecting the motion of closing and opening is a touch.

The observers have made observations based on the question and student answers. the question and student answers have illustrated that the students owned the generic science skills. The students' answer to the Question 1 and 2 indicated that they have acquired the cause-effect skills and their answers at the 4a and 4b described their direct observation skills. Furthermore, the students' answer at the 4e showed their awareness of the scale. In addition, the students' answer at the 5(a), 5(b), 5(c) and 5(d) pointed out their modeling skills, while the answer of 5(e) and 5(f) indicated logical framework skills. Finally, the answer of 5(g) elucidated the students' inference skills

The observation results on the students' generic science skills informed that the highest mean score was found in the modeling skills, 87.49% (very good). The lowest means score were found at the direct observation skills 74.3% (sufficient) and awareness 71.17% (sufficient). The data of the students' generic science skills scores in experimental motion on living things are summarized in Table 3. The post-test scores of the students' achievement on the living organism motion showed that all students (n=32 students) succeeded in having the mean score > 75. This results indicated that all students completed the mastery learning.



Table 4. The Scores of Generic Science Skills on the Experiment of Living Things Motion

Experimental Group	Percentage Scores of Generic Science Skills of the Students					
	Direct Observation	Awareness of Scale	Logical Framework	Cause-Effect	Modeling	Inference
1	89.58 %	62.50 %	95.83 %	97.91 %	95.83 %	75.00 %
2	70.83 %	41.67 %	79.16 %	72.91 %	83.30 %	70.83 %
3	64.58 %	72.91 %	70.83 %	83.30 %	79.16 %	79.16 %
4	67.70 %	83.33 %	75.00 %	70.83 %	83.33 %	79.16 %
5	66.14 %	66.66 %	70.83 %	68.75 %	83.33 %	75.00 %
6	86.97 %	100.00 %	83.30 %	81.25 %	100.00 %	95.83 %
Σ	445.80 %	427.07 %	474.95 %	474.95 %	524.95 %	474.98 %
Mean	74.30 %	71.17 %	79.15 %	79.15 %	87.49 %	79.16 %
Category	sufficient	sufficient	good	good	very good	good

The students carrying out the experiment systematically indicated that they have acquired the direct observation skills while those recording time needed during the experiment showed that they got the scale awareness. Moreover, the students distinguishing the stimulus types elucidated their logical framework skills. Additionally, the ability to explain the cause of the closing/opening of the *Mimosa pudica* leaves showed the students' cause and effect skills, and their activity of recording the data indicated the modeling skills. Therefore, it was said that the students have got the generic science skills.

The students performed very well during the modeling activities. The modeling activities in the experiment were to hold a particular demonstration or activity to describe the obtained data. These findings made clear that the students have got the generic skills. When referring to the generic explanation of science skills written by George (2011), the students already had the main skills of problem-solving, focusing, analyzing, decision-making, applying and verifying. These findings also elucidated that the students acquired the 21st-century skills of thinking, focusing, and problem-solving skills. This is in line with the explanation of Greenstein's (2012), that as the part of 21st-century skills, thinking skills were divided into critical, problem-solving, creativity and metacognition.

The above explanation informed that science learning in Indonesia at the junior high school level has actually provided the students with 21st-century skills. The research findings have proven that learning objectives covering the aspect of attitudes, knowledge, and skills have been accomplished through a variety of skills in the core activities. In accordance with the established standard, the learning should cover the

observing, asking, trying, reasoning, testing and creating activities (Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 22 of 2016). This research was a true implementation of Indonesia's 2013 Curriculum covering the aspect of attitude, knowledge, and skills realized through the series of activities containing the scientific approach principles, discovery/inquiry, and project-based learning. The activities also demonstrated the generic science skills which belonged to the science process skills.

Some 21st century skills in Gray & Koncz's (2014) article are the leadership, teamwork, written communication skills, problem-solving skills, work ethic, analytical/quantitative skills, technical skills, communication skills (verbal), initiative, computer skills, flexibility/adaptability, interpersonal skills, detail oriented, organizational ability, strategic planning skills, friendly/outgoing personality, entrepreneurial skills/risk-taker, tactfulness dan creativity (Gray & Koncz, 2014). Furthermore, Greenstein (2012) explained that the 21st-century skills of thinking include creativity, critical thinking, problem-solving and metacognition, communication, collaboration, information, and technology literacies are the tools for working. On the other hand, the citizenship, life skills, and personal responsibility are necessary for everyday life. Referring to Ahonen & Kinnunen (2015), some 21st-century skills needed by students in learning are problem-solving, reasoning, collaboration, self-regulation (Ahonen & Kinnunen, 2015). Therefore, the researchers agreed that the findings of this study indicated the similarity between generic science skills and the 21st-century skills. These skills must be possessed by the students after learning in the science classroom, also, could be generated by the students with the help of teachers. Thus, teachers



should make use of certain learning methods to help students acquire those skills. Some aids or methods that a teacher could adopt in their science class are the computer-based game (Annetta et al, 2009), critical thinking skills on biology (Tirunch et al., 2016), visual knowledge skills in biology (Kinchin, 2011), cooperative skills on biology (Haviz, 2015), integrated learning skills on biology (Haviz, 2016). A good teacher should know what the students need, therefore, s/he has to conduct an educational mini research in her/his class (Schenzel, 2013) and optimize teacher-student dialogue (Kinchin, 2010).

### CONCLUSION

The students have done several steps during the experiment on living thing motion which comprised the generic science skills in the form of direct observation, scale awareness, logical framework, cause and effect, modeling, and inference. The highest score was on the modeling having an average percentage of 87.49%. The post-test scores showed that all students have passed the mastery learning having the mean score > 75, indicating that they have acquired the generic science skills which are part of the 21st-century skills. Therefore, the researchers suggested that the educators revisit and reaffirm the generic science skills in science learning, especially in biology learning.

### REFERENCES

- Ahonen, A. K., & Kinnunen, P. (2015). How do Students Value the Importance of Twenty-First Century Skills? *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 59(4), 395-412.
- Ambross, J., Meiring, L., & Blignaut, S. (2014). The Implementation and Development of Science Process Skills in the Natural Sciences: A Case Study of Teachers' Perceptions. *Africa Education Review*, 11(3), 459-474.
- Annetta, L. A., Minogue, J., Holmes, S. Y., & Cheng, M. T. (2009). Investigating the impact of video games on high school students' engagement and learning about genetics. *Computers & Education*, 53(1), 74-85.
- Badcock, P. B. T., Pattison, P. E. & Harris, K. L. (2010). Developing Generic Skills through University Study: A Study of Arts, Science and Engineering in Australia. *Higher Education* 60, (4), 441-458.
- Bell, S. (2010). Project-based Learning for the 21st Century: Skills for the Future. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 83(2), 39-43.
- Boyer, W., & Crippen, C. L. (2014). Learning and Teaching in the 21st Century: An Education Plan for the New Millennium Developed in British Columbia, Canada. *Childhood Education*, 90(5), 343-353.
- Broto Siswoyo, B. S. (2001). Hakikat Pembelajaran MIPA dan Kiat Pembelajaran Kimia di Perguruan Tinggi. In *Hakikat Pembelajaran MIPA di Perguruan Tinggi*. Jakarta: PAU-PPAT DIKTI Depdiknas RI.
- Cai, H., Gu, X., & Wong, L. H. (2017). An Investigation of Twenty-First Century Learners' Competencies in China. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 8(2), 475-486.
- Cecilia, K., Chan, Y., Emily, T., Fong, Y., Lillian Y., Luk, Y., & Ho, R. (2017). A Review of Literature on Challenges in the Development and Implementation of Generic Competencies in Higher Education Curriculum. *International Journal of Educational Development* 57, 1-10.
- Coil, D., Wenderoth, M. P., Cunningham, M., & Dirks, C. (2010). Teaching the Process of Science: Faculty Perceptions and An Effective Methodology. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 9, 524-535.
- Colley, K. E. (2010). Understanding Ecology Content Knowledge and Acquiring Science Process Skills through Project-based Science Instruction. *Science Activities: Classroom Projects and Curriculum Ideas*, 43(1), 26-33.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). California: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- DiCerbo, K. (2014). Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 21(4), 502-505.
- Duran, E., Yaussy, D., & Yaussy, L. (2011). Race to the Future: Integrating 21st Century Skills into Science Instruction. *Science Activities: Classroom Projects and Curriculum Ideas*, 48(3), 98-106.
- Durmaz, H., & Mutlu, S. (2017). The Effect of An Instructional Intervention on Elementary Students' Science Process Skills. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 110(4), 433-445.
- Ercikan, K., & Oliveri, M. E. (2016). In Search of Validity Evidence in Support of the Interpretation and Use of Assessments of Complex Constructs: Discussion of Research on Assessing 21st Century Skills. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 29(4), 310-318.
- Fry, S., & Seely, S. (2011). Enhancing pre-Service Elementary Teachers' 21st-Century Information and Media Literacy Skills. *Action in Teacher Education*, 33(2), 206-218.
- Geisinger, K. F. (2016). 21st Century Skills: What are They and How Do We Assess Them? *Applied Measurement in Education*, 29(4), 245-249.
- George, R. (2011). Fostering Generic Skills through Participatory Learning Strategies. *International*



- Journal of Fundamental Psychology & Social Sciences*, 1(1), 14-16.
- Gray, K., & Koncz, A. (2014). *The Skills/Qualities Employers want in New College Graduate Hires. Job Outlook 2015*. Bethlehem, PA: National Association of Colleges and Employers.
- Greenstein, L. (2012). *Assesing 21st Century Skill. A Guide to Evaluating Mastery and Authentic Learning*. California: SAGE Company.
- Griffin, P. (2017). Assessing and Teaching 21st Century Skills: Collaborative Problem Solving as A Case Study. *Innovative Assessment of Collaboration*, 113-134.
- Haviz, M. (2015). Cooperative Learning Model on Developmental of Biology. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 3(10), 1298-1304.
- Haviz, M. (2016). Designing and Developing the Integrated Learning Model on Embryology. *Transylvanian Review*, 24(7), 1043-1052.
- Jääskelä, P., Nykänen, S., & Tynjälä, P. (2016). Models for the Development of Generic Skills in Finnish Higher Education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 42(1), 130-142.
- Jang, H. (2016). Identifying 21st Century STEM Competencies Using Workplace Data. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(2), 284-301.
- Jia, Y., Oh, Y. J., Sibuma, B., & Lorentson, M. (2016). Measuring Twenty-First Century Skills: Development and Validation of a Scale for in-Service and pre-Service Teachers. *Teacher Development; An international Journal of Teachers' Professional Development*, 20(2), 229-252.
- Joseph, C., So, H., Derek S., & Lam, Y. (2015). Relationship between Student Development Activities and Core Generic Competencies among Tertiary Science and Technology Students. *Teaching Assessment and Learning for Engineering (TALE) IEEE International Conference*, 207-214.
- Kaufman, K. J. (2013). 21 Ways to 21st Century Skills: Why Students Need Them and Ideas for Practical Implementation. *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, 49(2), 78-83.
- Kinchin, I. M. (2010). Effective Teacher □ Student Dialogue: A Model from Biological Education. *Journal of Biological Education*, 37(3), 110-113.
- Kinchin, I. M. (2011). Visualising Knowledge Structures in Biology: Discipline, Curriculum and Student Understanding. *Journal of Biological Education*, 45(4), 183-189.
- Koksal, E. A., & Berberoglu, G. (2014). The Effect of Guided-Inquiry Instruction on 6th Grade Turkish Students' Achievement, Science Process Skills, and Attitudes Toward Science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(1), 66-78.
- Lambert, J., & Gong, Y. (2010). 21st Century Paradigms for pre-Service Teacher Technology Preparation. *Computers in the Schools Interdisciplinary Journal of Practice, Theory and Applied Research*, 27(1), 54-70.
- Larson, L. C., & Miller, T. N. (2011). 21st Century Skills: Prepare Students for the Future. *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, 47(3), 121-123.
- Molefe, L., & Stears, M. (2014). Rhetoric and Reality: Science Teacher Educators' Views and Practice Regarding Science Process Skills. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(3), 219-230.
- Muhammad, A., & Osman, K. (2010). Inventive Thinking Skills in Science: A Comparative Study between Students in Malaysia and Brunei. *International Journal of Learning*, 17(9), 227-236.
- Mulyani, S., Liliyasi, Wiji, Hana, M. N., & Nursu'adah, E. (2016). Improving Students' Generic Skill in Science through Chemistry Learning Using ICT-based Media on Reaction Rate and Osmotic Pressure Material. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 5(1), 150-156.
- Natoli, R., Jackling, B., & Seelanatha, L. (2014). The Impact of Instructor's Group Management Strategies on Students' Attitudes to Group Work and Generic Skill Development. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 9(2), 116-132.
- Rahman, T. (2008). *Pengembangan Program Pembelajaran Praktikum untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Generik Calon Guru Biologi*. Bandung: Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia Number 22 of 2016.
- Rhee, B. S., & Kim, S. (2012). Differential Pathways to Generic Skills Development of Male and Female College Students in Korea. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 13 (4), 677-690.
- Sang, G., Liang, J.-C., Chai, C. S., Dong, Y., & Tsai, C. C. (2018). Teachers' Actual and Preferred Perceptions of Twenty-First Century Learning Competencies: A Chinese Perspective. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 19(3), 307-317.
- Savitri, E. N., Wusqo, I. U., Ardhi, M. W., & Putra, P. D. (2017). Enhancement of Science Students' Process Skills through Implementation of Green Learning Method (GeLeM) with Conservation-based Inquiry Approach. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 237-244.
- Schenzel, J. E. (2013). *Teachers and Decision made in the Process of Developing a Formal Curriculum* (Masters thesis).
- Sibille, K., Greene, A., & Bush, J. P. (2010). Preparing Physicians for the 21st Century: Targeting Communication Skills and the Promotion of Health Behavior Change. *Annals of Behavioral Science and Medical Education*, 16(1), 7-13.
- Stone, E. M. (2014). Guiding Students to Develop An Understanding of Scientific Inquiry: A Science Skills Approach to Instruction and Assessment. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 13, 90-101.
- Tiruneh, T. D., Weldeclassie, A. G., Kassa, A., Tefera, Z., Cock, D., & Elen, J. (2016). Systematic Design of Learning Environment for Domain-Specific and Domain-General Critical Thinking Skill. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 64(3), 481-505.
- Umar, A. A., Saad, S. K., Umar, M. I., Rahman, M. Y., & Oyama, M. (2018). Advances in Porous



- and High-Energy (001)-Faceted Anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanostructures. *Optical Materials*, 75, 390-430.
- Umar, M. I., Yap, C. C., Awang, R., Umar, A. A., & Salleh, M. M. (2013). Formation of Gold-Coated Multilayer Graphene via Thermal Reduction. *Materials Letters*, 106(1), 200-203.
- Umar, M. I., Yap, C. C., Awang, R., & Salleh, M. M. (2017). Effect of Thermal Reduction Temperature on the Optical and Electrical Properties of Multilayer Graphene. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics*, 28(1), 1038-1041.
- Umar, M. I., Yap, C. C., Awang, R., Umar, A. A., Salleh, M. M., & Yahaya, M. (2013). Characterization of Multilayer Graphene Prepared from Short-Time Processed Graphite Oxide Flake. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics*, 24(4), 1282-1286.
- Walters, Y. B., & Soyibo, K. (2010). An Analysis of High School Students' Performance on Five Integrated Science Process Skills. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 19(2), 133-145.